

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,061	06/28/2003	Shaoling Li	ARC 3162 R1	ARC 3162 R1 6874  EXAMINER	
30766	7590 12/01/2006		EXAM		
DEWIPAT INCORPORATED			SILVERMA	SILVERMAN, ERIC E	
P.O. BOX 1017 CYPRESS, TX 77410-1017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 1615		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/609,061	LI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Eric E. Silverman, PhD	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 O</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-44 and 61-63 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-44,61-63 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/609,061

Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/15/2006 has been entered.

Claims 1 - 44 and 61 - 63 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 – 44, and 61 – 63 **remain** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,419,952 to Wong et al in view of US 5,800,422 to Dong et al. for reasons of record and those discussed below.

### Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered, but are not persuasive.

Applicants' have relied upon evidence in the specification as a showing of allegedly unexpected results. This is not persuasive because.

The evidence is not commensurate with the scope of the claimed invention. The evidence relied upon only shows results for the combination of one specific

Art Unit: 1615

osmopolymer (NACMC), with one specific film former (NATROSOL) and one specific osmotic agent (NaCl). Instant claims, with the sole exception of claim 44, are all generic with respect to at least one of these agents. Note that claim 43 limits the film former, but does not require it to be NATROSOL (which is understood to be hydroxypropyl cellulose of a specific molecular weight distribution), and does not limit the identity of the osmotic agent of the osmopolymer. Thus, it cannot be said that the showing provides sufficient factual information to show what results would be obtained upon the combination of *any* osmopolymer, *any* film former, and *any* osmotic agent in the ratios of instant claims.

Claim 44 requires that the film-former comprise hydrosyethylcellulose, the omopolymer comprise NaCMC and the osmotic agent comprise NaCl. However, the showing is not commensurate with this claim. The "comprise[ing]" language allows any other film-former, osmopolymer, or osmotic agent to be admixed with those specifically recited in the claim. The showing gives no information about whether the results hold for such mixtures. Further, the showing uses "NATROSOL", whereas the claim recites "hydroxyethylcellulose". As shown by the NATROSOL disclosure (see PTO 892), NATROSOL is available in a variety of different types, having different properties such as particle size, solubility and viscosity limits. It is not clear what type of "NATROSOL" was used in the showing, and thus it is not clear that the showing of a specific type of hydroxyethylcellulose is commensurate in scope with the claim, which is generic to any hydroxyethylcellulose.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 1615

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric E. Silverman, PhD whose telephone number is 571 272 5549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571 272 8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric E. Silverman, PhD Art Unit 1615

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600